

Filed: May 11, 1999

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 98-6498
(CA-98-702-S)

Leamon L. Tatum,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

Division of Corrections, et al,

Defendants - Appellees.

O R D E R

The court amends its opinion filed March 4, 1999, as follows:

On page 3, footnote *, lines 2 and 3 -- the dates of "May 16" and "May 17" are corrected to read "March 16" and "March 17," respectively.

For the Court - By Direction

/s/ Patricia S. Connor
Clerk

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 98-6498

LEAMON L. TATUM,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

DIVISION OF CORRECTIONS; RICHARD A. LANHAM, SR.; F. NASTI, Citation Adjuster; JAMES H. ASHBY, Citation Adjuster; T. PRICE, Citation Adjuster; WESTERN CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION; FRANK C. SIZER, JR., Warden; E. J. SCHEWE, ARP Coordinator; P. KNIGHT, Classification; CMS NIMES, Classification; R. GROVE, Classification; J. F. WHISER, JR., CO II; D. COWAN, CO II; L. C. REAMS, CO II; S. BOWMAN, CO II; H. EDMISTON, CO II; C. DETRICK, CO II; C. MCKENZIE, CO II; J. JOHNSON, CO II; D. STEWART, Ltd.; K. SHOOK, CO II; CORRECTIONAL MEDICAL SYSTEMS; DEBORAH PULLER, Psych Associate; JANET HENDERSHOT, Doctor; BRUCE LILLER, Psych Associate,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Maryland, at Baltimore. Frederic N. Smalkin, District Judge. (CA-98-702-S)

Submitted: December 15, 1998

Decided: March 4, 1999

Before WIDENER and LUTTIG, Circuit Judges, and BUTZNER, Senior Circuit Judge.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Leamon L. Tatum, Appellant Pro Se.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.
See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Leamon L. Tatum appeals the district court's order denying relief on his 42 U.S.C.A. § 1983 (West Supp. 1998) complaint. We have reviewed the record and the district court's opinion and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm on the reasoning of the district court. Tatum v. Division of Corrections, No. CA-98-702-S (D. Md. Mar. 17, 1998).^{*} We also deny Tatum's motions for discovery and for appointment of counsel. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED

^{*} Although the district court's order of judgment is marked as "filed" on March 16, 1998, the district court's records show that it was entered on the docket sheet on March 17, 1998. Pursuant to Rules 58 and 79(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, it is the date that the order was physically entered on the docket sheet that we take as the effective date of the district court's decision. See Wilson v. Murray, 806 F.2d 1232, 1234-35 (4th Cir. 1986).